

ADVERTISEMENT


**BASF**  
 We create chemistry

See how we create chemistry ▶

**SCIENTIFIC  
AMERICAN™**

Hi, Jennifer (sign out)

[My Account](#) | 0[Search ScientificAmerican.com](#)

Subscription Center

[Subscribe to All Access »](#)[Subscribe to Print »](#)[Give a Gift »](#)[View the Latest Issue »](#)[Subscribe](#)[News & Features](#)[Topics](#)[Blogs](#)[Videos & Podcasts](#)[Education](#)[Citizen Science](#)[SA Magazine](#)[SA Mind](#)[Books](#)[SA en español](#)[Sustainability » Scientific American Volume 312, Issue 2 » Features](#)9 :: [Email](#) :: [Print](#)

## ⬇ Energy, Water and Food Problems Must Be Solved Together

Our future rides on our ability to integrate how we use these three commodities

By [Michael E. Webber](#) | Jan 20, 2015

In July 2012 three of India's regional electric grids failed, triggering the largest blackout on earth. More than 620 million people—9 percent of the world's population—were left powerless. The cause: the strain of food production from a lack of water. Because of major drought, farmers plugged in more and more electric pumps to draw water from deeper and deeper belowground for irrigation. Those pumps, working furiously under the hot sun, increased the demand on power plants. At the same time, low water levels meant hydroelectric dams were generating less electricity than normal.

Making matters worse, runoff from those irrigated farms during floods earlier in the year left piles of silt right behind the dams, reducing the water capacity in the dam reservoirs. Suddenly, a population larger than all of Europe and twice as large as that of the U.S. was plunged into darkness.

California is facing a surprisingly similar confluence of energy, water and food troubles. Reduced snowpack, record-low rainfall and ongoing development in the Colorado River basin have reduced the river water in central California by a third. The state produces half of the country's fruits, nuts and vegetables and almost a quarter of



*Dan Saelinger; PROP STYLING BY DOMINIQUE BAYNES*

### In Brief


The world is trying to improve energy, water and food supplies individually, but the challenges need to be solved in one integrated manner. That approach will also benefit the environment, poverty, population growth and disease.

Reducing wasted food can conserve energy and water. Indoor farms can use city wastewater to grow crops and power the buildings in which they are

### More from Scientific American

[MIND »](#)[Classics »](#)[DIGITAL »](#)

ADVERTISEMENT



**cotopaxi**

Free 2-day shipping  
on orders of \$50+.  
Limited time only.

[shop now](#)

Follow Us:



its milk, and farmers are pumping groundwater like mad; last summer some areas pumped twice as much water for irrigation as they did the previous year. The 400-mile-long Central Valley is literally sinking as groundwater is pulled up from below. Just when more power is needed, Southern California Edison shut down two big nuclear reactors for a lack of cooling water. San Diego's plan to build a desalination plant along the coast was challenged by activists who opposed the facility on the grounds that it would consume too much energy.

Energy, water and food are the world's three most critical resources. Although this fact is widely acknowledged in policy circles, the interdependence of these resources on one another is significantly underappreciated. Strains on any one can cripple the others. This situation has made our society more fragile than we imagine, and we are not prepared for the potential disaster that is waiting for us.

Yet we are making once-in-a-generation decisions about power plants, water infrastructure and farmland that will last for many decades, locking us into a vulnerable system. Meeting the world's energy needs alone will require \$48 trillion in investment between now and 2035, according to a 2014 International Energy Agency report, and the agency's executive director said there is a real risk "that investments are misdirected" because impacts are not being properly assessed.

An integrated approach to solving these enormous issues is urgently needed rather than an attempt to solve each problem apart from the others. A vast number of the planet's population centers are hit with drought, energy systems are bumping up against environmental constraints and rising costs, and the food system is struggling to keep up with rapidly growing demand. And the nexus of food, water and energy is a backdrop to much of the most troubled parts of the world. Riots and revolutions in Libya and Syria were provoked by drought or high food prices, toppling governments. We need to solve the interconnected conundrum to create a more integrated and resilient society, but where do we start?

### Cascading Risks or Rewards

The late Nobel laureate Richard E. Smalley of Rice University gave a hint at where to begin in his 2003 lecture highlighting the "Top Ten Problems of Humanity for the Next 50 Years." His list was organized in descending order of importance: energy, water, food, environment, poverty, terrorism and war, disease, education, democracy and population. Energy, water and food were at the top because solving them would combat problems lower down, in cascading fashion. Developing plentiful sources of clean, reliable, affordable energy, for example, enables an abundance of clean water. Having an abundance of clean water and energy (to make fertilizer and to power tractors) enables food production. And so on.

housed. Algae production next to power plants can turn wastewater and carbon emissions into food or biofuel. Wind turbines in the desert can convert brackish water into freshwater. A smart grid for water delivery can save water and energy.

Energy, water and food planners and policy makers have to stop working in isolation and devise integrated policy and infrastructure solutions.

#### More on this Topic



**Hot Brines Deep Underground Could Store CO<sub>2</sub> and Generate Energy**



**Soldier Flies: The New Food for Farm Fish**


#### Most Popular



**The World Really Could Go Nuclear**



**People Are More Likely to Cheat at the End**



**The Milky Way's Missing Mass: Partially Found**



**NASA Struggles over Deep-Space Plutonium Power**



**Evidence for Person-to-Person Transmission of Alzheimer's Pathology**

#### Solve Innovation Challenges

##### The SUDEP Institute Challenge: Preventing Epilepsy Seizures



Deadline: Oct 13 2015  
Reward: \$30,000 USD

Sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) is the leading cause of death in young adults who have epilepsy and poorly controlled seizure

##### Engineered Surfaces, Materials and Coatings for Aircraft Drag Reduction



Deadline: Sep 21 2015  
Reward: \$100,000 USD

The Ohio Aerospace Institute (OAI) and its Engineered Surfaces, Materials and Coatings (ESMC) Team, under contract with the Air Force Research

[More Challenges >>](#)

Powered By: **INNOCENTIVE**

ADVERTISEMENT

**PRINT+DIGITAL**  
Get Your Content Your Way  
**SUBSCRIBE NOW**

#### Latest from SA Blog Network

**The "Black Piranha" of Ascension Island**

As brilliant as Smalley's list was, it missed two important nuances. First, energy, water and food are interconnected. And second, although an abundance of one enables an abundance of the others, a shortage of one can create a shortage of the others.

With infinite energy, we have all the water we need because we can desalt the oceans, dig very deep wells and move water across continents. With infinite water, we have all the energy we need because we can build widespread hydroelectric plants or irrigate unlimited energy crops. With infinite energy and water we can make the deserts bloom and build highly productive indoor farms that produce food year-round.

We do not live in a world with infinite resources, of course. We live in a world of constraints. The likelihood that these constraints will lead to cascading failures grows as pressure rises from population growth, longer life spans and increasing consumption.

For example, Lake Mead outside Las Vegas, fed by the Colorado River, is now at its lowest level in history. The city draws drinking water from what amounts to two big straws that dip into the lake. If the level keeps dropping, it may sink lower than those straws: large farming communities downstream could be left dry, and the huge hydroelectric turbines inside the Hoover Dam on the lake would provide less power or might stop altogether. Las Vegas's solution is to spend nearly \$1 billion on a third straw that will come up into the lake from underneath. It might not do much good. Scientists at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla, Calif., have found that Lake Mead could dry up by 2021 if the climate changes as expected and cities and farms that depend on the Colorado River do not curtail their withdrawals.

In Uruguay, politicians must confront tough decisions about how to use the water in their reservoirs. In 2008 the Uruguay River behind the Salto Grande Dam dropped to very low levels. The dam has almost the same electricity-generating capacity as the Hoover Dam, but only three of the 14 turbines were spinning because local people wanted to store the water for farming or municipal use. The citizens along the river and their political leaders were forced to choose whether they wanted electricity, food or drinking water. Constraints in one sector triggered constraints in the others. Although that threat might have temporarily eased for Uruguay, it repeats itself in other parts of the world. In like manner, certain communities in drought-stricken Texas and New Mexico have recently prohibited or restricted water for use in fracking for oil and gas, saving it for farming.

About 80 percent of the water we consume is for agriculture—our food. Nearly 13 percent of energy production is used to fetch, clean, deliver, heat, chill and dispose of our water. Fertilizers made from natural gas, pesticides made from petroleum, and diesel fuel to run tractors and harvesters drive up the amount of energy it takes to produce food. Food factories requiring power-hungry refrigeration produce goods wrapped in plastic made from petrochemicals, and it takes still more energy to get groceries from the store and cook them at home. The nexus is a big mess, and the entire system is vulnerable to a perturbation in any part.

## Technical Solutions

It would be folly to build more power plants and water delivery and treatment facilities with the same old designs, to grow crops using the same outdated methods, and to extract more oil and gas without realizing that these pursuits impinge on one another. Thankfully, it is possible to integrate all three activities in ways that are

Expeditions | September 18, 2015

### Missing the Soil for the Seeds in Cancer Research

Guest Blog | September 18, 2015

### Bigger Guns, 1915

Anecdotes from the Archive | September 18, 2015

### Turning Utility into Texas-Size Solar Art

Plugged In | September 18, 2015

### The Complex Net of Human Interference

Symbiotic | September 18, 2015

## News From Our Partners

### REUTERS

**Analysis of Antidepressant Paxil Finds Data on Teen Risk Was Held Back**

### nature

**Disguised Nanoparticles Slip Past Body's Immune Defense**

### techmedia

**Jeff Bezos' Blue Origin Will Launch Rockets and Spaceships from Florida**

### ClimateWire

**Republican Candidates Questioned on Climate Change**

ADVERTISEMENT

Powered by Google



**How Far Does Obama's Clean Power Plan Go in Slowing Climate Change?**

**Fact or Fiction?: Natural Gas Will Reduce Global Warming Pollution**

ADVERTISEMENT

**PRINT+DIGITAL**  
Get Your Content Your Way  
**SUBSCRIBE NOW**

sustainable.

The most obvious measure is to reduce waste. In the U.S., 25 percent or more of our food goes into the dump. Because we pour so much energy and water into producing food, reducing the proportion of waste can spare several resources at once. That might mean something as simple as serving smaller portions and eating less meat, which is four times more energy-intensive than grains. We can also put discarded food and agricultural waste such as manure into anaerobic digesters that turn it into natural gas. These metal spheres look like shiny bubbles. Microbes inside break down the organic matter, producing methane in the process. If we implement this technology widely—at homes, grocery stores and central locations such as farms—that would create new energy and revenue streams while reducing the energy and water that are needed to process the refuse.

SEE ALSO:

Health: [Researchers Seek Cancer Clues from Pet Dogs](#) | Mind: [Animals Have More Social Smarts Than You May Think](#) | Tech: [Are We on the Cusp of War—in Space?](#) | The Sciences: [The Mystery of the Cat's Inner Eyelid](#)

Wastewater is another by-product we could turn into a resource. In California, San Diego and Santa Clara are using treated wastewater to irrigate land. The water is even clean enough to drink, which could bolster municipal water supplies if state regulators would allow it.

Urban farm proponents such as Dickson Despommier of Columbia University have designed “vertical farms” that would be housed inside glass skyscrapers. People in New York City, for example, produce a billion gallons of wastewater a day, and the city spends enormous sums to clean it enough to dump into the Hudson River. This cleansed water could instead irrigate crops inside a vertical farm, generating food while reducing the farm's demand for freshwater. Solids extracted from liquid waste are typically burned, but instead they could be incinerated to produce electricity for the big building, reducing its energy demand. And because fresh food would be grown right where many consumers live and work, less transportation would be needed to truck food in, potentially saving energy and carbon dioxide emissions.

Start-up companies are trying to use wastewater and CO<sub>2</sub> from power plants to grow algae right next door. The algae eat the gas and water, and workers harvest the plants for animal feed and biofuel, all while tackling the fourth priority on Smalley's list—improving the environment—by removing compounds from the water and CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere.

We could harness the same carbon dioxide to create energy. My colleagues at the University of Texas at Austin have designed a system in which waste CO<sub>2</sub> from power plants is injected into large brine deposits deep belowground. The CO<sub>2</sub> stays submerged, eliminating it from the atmosphere, and pushes out hot methane, which comes to the surface, where it can be sold for energy. The heat can also be tapped by industry.

Smart conservation is another way to spare different resources simultaneously. We use more water through our light switches and electrical outlets than our faucets and showerheads because so much water is needed to cool power plants that are out of sight and out of mind. We also use more energy to heat, treat and pump our water



than we use for lighting. Turning off the lights and appliances saves vast amounts of water, and turning off the water saves large amounts of energy.

We can also rethink how to better use energy and water to grow food in unlikely places. In parts of the desert Southwest, brackish groundwater is abundant at shallow depths. Wind and solar energy are also plentiful. These energy sources present challenges to utilities because the sun does not shine at night and the wind blows intermittently. But that schedule is fine for desalting water because clean water is easy to store for use later. Desalination of seawater is energy-intensive, but brackish groundwater is not nearly as salty. Our research at U.T. Austin indicates that intermittent wind power is more economically valuable when it is used to make clean water from brackish groundwater than when it is used to make electricity. And of course, the treated water can then irrigate crops. This is the nexus working in our favor.

The same thinking can improve hydraulic fracturing for oil and gas. One unfortunate side effect is that waste gas, mostly methane, coming up the well is flared—burned off into the air. The flaring is so voluminous that it can be seen at night from space. The wells also produce a lot of dirty water—millions of gallons of freshwater injected into wells for fracking come back out laden with salts and chemicals. If operators are smart, they can use the methane to power distillers or other heat-based machines to clean the water, making it reusable on-site, which spares freshwater while avoiding the wasted energy and emissions of a flare.

We can also be smarter about how we deliver water to homes and businesses. Sensors embedded in smart grids help to make electricity distribution more efficient. But our water system is a lot dumber than our electricity system. Outdated, century-old meters often fail to accurately record water use, and experts say that antiquated pipes leak 10 to 40 percent of the treated water that flows through them. Embedding wireless data sensors in the water delivery system would give utilities more tools to reduce the leaks—and lost revenues. Smart water would also help consumers manage their consumption.

We can do smart food, too. One reason so much food is wasted is because grocery stores, restaurants and consumers rely on expiration dates, a crude estimate of whether food has spoiled. Food is not sold or consumed past the expiration date even though it may still be fine if its temperature and condition have been well managed. Using sensors to assess food directly would be smarter. For example, we could use special inks on food packaging that change color if they are exposed to the wrong temperature or if undesirable microbes begin to grow in the food, indicating spoilage. We can install sensors along the supply chain to measure trace gases that are released by rotting fruits and vegetables. Those same sensors can lead to tighter refrigeration controls that minimize losses.

### **New Policy Thinking**

Although many technical solutions can improve the energy-water-food nexus, we often do not exploit them because ideologically and politically, the U.S. has not fully grasped the interrelatedness of these resources. Policy makers, business owners and engineers typically work in isolated fashion on one issue or another.

Sadly, we compound the problems with policy, oversight and funding decisions made by separate agencies. Energy planners assume they will have the water they need.

Water planners assume they will have the energy they need. Food planners recognize the risks of drought, but their reaction is to pump harder and drill deeper for water. The most important innovation we need is holistic thinking about all of our resources.

That kind of thinking can lead to smarter policy decisions. For example, policies can fund research into energy technologies that are water-lean, water technologies that are energy-lean, and food production, storage and monitoring techniques that prevent losses while reducing energy and water demands. Setting cross-resource efficiency standards can kill two birds with one stone. Building codes can also be a powerful tool for reducing waste and improving performance. Permitting for new energy sites should require water-footprint assessments, and vice versa. And policy makers can set up revolving loan funds, direct capital investments or tax benefits for institutions that integrate these kinds of technical solutions.

One encouraging sign was a declaration made by 300 delegates from 33 countries at the Nexus 2014: Water, Food, Climate and Energy Conference in Chapel Hill, N.C. The declaration, written not just by political representatives but also by attendees from the World Bank and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development, stated that “the world is a single complex system” and that “solutions and policy interventions should be sought that are beneficial for the system as a whole.”

As Smalley pointed out, energy can be the driver. We have to think about using our energy sector to solve multiple challenges simultaneously. Policies that are monomaniacal about lowering atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels, for example, might push us toward low-carbon electricity choices that are very water-intensive, such as nuclear power plants or coal plants with carbon capture.

Personal responsibility plays a role, too. Demand for fresh salads that land on our winter plates from 5,000 miles away creates a far-flung, energy-hungry food distribution system. In general, our personal choices for more of everything just push our resources to the edge. The energy-water-food nexus is the most vexing problem to face our planet. To quote the late George Mitchell, father of modern hydraulic fracturing and a sustainability advocate: “If we can’t solve the problem for seven billion people, how will we do so for nine billion people?”

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR(S)

**Michael E. Webber** is deputy director of the Energy Institute at the University of Texas at Austin. His forthcoming book *Thirst for Power*, which examines energy and water use in the modern world, will be published by Yale University Press. Follow him on Twitter [@MichaelEWebber](#)

## MORE TO EXPLORE

**Liberation Power: What Do Women Need? Better Energy.** Sheril R. Kirshenbaum and Michael E. Webber in *Slate*. Published online November 4, 2013.

**The Ocean under Our Feet.** Michael E. Webber in *Mechanical Engineering*, page 16; January 2014.

## FROM OUR ARCHIVES

**The One-Stop Carbon Solution.** Steven L. Bryant; November 2013.

**SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN ONLINE**

Video about resource-efficient aquaculture can be seen at


[ScientificAmerican.com/feb2015/webber](http://ScientificAmerican.com/feb2015/webber)

*This article was originally published with the title "A Puzzle for the Planet."*



Share this Article:



## Recommended For You



- 1. [Why There Will Never Be Another Einstein](#) 4 weeks ago  
[blogs.scientificamerican.com](http://blogs.scientificamerican.com) [ScientificAmerican.com](#) More Science



[The Myth of the Beginning of Time](#) 10 months ago [scientificamerican.com](#)  
[ScientificAmerican.com](#) Features



- 3. [Your Brain on Porn and Other Sexual Images](#) a month ago  
[scientificamerican.com](#) [ScientificAmerican.com](#) Neuroscience

## Comments

Oldest – Newest

**dickburkhart**

January 28, 2015, 5:38 PM

This article shys away from our true predicament: We'll never have enough energy to keep this global growth machine going, what with the coming decline of fossil fuels and what with renewables having low net energy, or unscalable, when all factors are taken into account. For a sober assessment see <http://www.resilience.org/stories/2015-01-21/our-renewable-future>.

In addition, the claim that "the abundance of one (of energy, water, food) enables an abundance of the others" is simply false. For example, we've always had a super abundance of water, but this has never given us an abundance of energy. Also abundant food is dependent on both abundant energy and water.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**chuckwoolery** → **dickburkhart**

January 29, 2015, 7:10 PM

It appears your concept regarding 'energy' is the only thing that is limited.  $E=MC^2$ . Just because we currently rely on fossil fuels doesn't mean that's the only or even primary source. Even without nuclear or fusion power the earth is awash in various sources of energy or opportunities to make it, capture it, or find it.

We have always had an abundance of water, energy and food. Affordable given the existing value system of humans? No. But it's always been there, and will continue to be there if we have the will, intelligence, wisdom and compassion to make these the priority instead of sports, religion, profits and war.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**Richieo**

February 6, 2015, 5:47 AM

It is a balancing act we will ultimately fail to sustain, in fact we are setting the stage for catastrophic failure, simply by not addressing the whole problem, which is all about ever increasing numbers...

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**roy943**

February 6, 2015, 12:31 PM

I agree with Richieo's comment. One very important factor in this equation is overpopulation of the planet by humans. The human population explosion problem is a current event which the media tends not to report on. Although most developed countries that have availability to birth control methods are limiting the size of their families, many undeveloped nations in the world, especially in Africa are not. China has limited it's birth rate, but not India, Pakistan and many Muslim nations. If the world's population were 3 billion or less, there would be less pressure on the world for resources, whether they be energy, food or water. If we do not limit the size of our increasing population, Mother Nature (Gaia) will and the effects will be miserable.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**jbrack1** → **dickburkhart**

February 6, 2015, 12:51 PM

Dear Mr. Weber, you touched on an item that would solve the issues for years while we work on other refinements: Plant based food for people saves 4 to 10 times (depending on the plant foods chosen) the resources consumed compared to food we consume from animal sources. Of course, it's not practical because Americans do not want to change!

Oh, by the way, most of our chronic diseases including type 2 diabetes (which costs 300 Billion/year to treat) are preventable if plant foods are used instead of animal products.

Talk about the synergism of power/water/food issues! If Bill Clinton can do it why can't the rest of us?

Oh, by the way, if someone raises the protein issue, it's one of the most common nutrition misconceptions around: The fact is we Americans get at least 8 times as much protein as we need and it's bad for us--kidney problems and bone loss are the result. To illustrate, even Bill Clinton (did I mention him before?), great job that he did educating himself, thinks he needs to take a protein supplement with his plant based diet. We don't need more, we need less protein! The largest animals on earth get all the protein they need from plants: cows, elephants, giraffes. . .

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**dhrosier**

February 6, 2015, 1:15 PM

The problems with Energy, Water and Food are SYMPTOMS, not CAUSES!!

The cause is that the strain of human population far exceeding the "carrying capacity" of Mamma Earth is going to render Earth essentially uninhabitable.

Earth IS the Goose that laid golden eggs. The goose did not die in the early stages of the ramp up in production, the parasites addicted to the golden eggs denied the strain on the goose until the goose died. Sounds a lot like Global Warming and water shortages.

I have had this debate with a number of intelligent people who were not ignorant except that which they imposed upon themselves.

Shibboleth!

Technology and Growth are both now in the zone of immunity that comes from being a shibboleth among the general population. People cling mindlessly to the belief technology WILL solve the problem of water shortage because it always has solved such problems Note that the past few years California has experienced devastating water shortages. BTW, there is no shortage of technology com

New  
Wes  
attra  
sust  
depl

any other "high growth" areas  
they would be able to continue to  
value economies. It is the life  
the past decades that has



The  
prog  
so ho

Ene  
beco

might so  
siphon it to the inlands that we will avoid catastrophe. If you believe that I have some land in Florida that has more water than you could ever want.



## Back to School Sale!

One year just \$19.99

[Order now >](#)

ear, but droughts do grow  
problem until the conditions are

our star, the Sun, burns out to  
a period of time. Perhaps, we

We are inundated with articles "Feeding 10 Billion" but they never tell us that leads to disaster. They offer all sorts of alternative foods such as bugs and do it so softly the reader does not discern they are laying out a path for the orderly degradation of the quality of life in order to sustain mindless growth in the quantity of human life, and the damage to the planet on which we depend so fatalistically that will entail.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**Fowler** → [chuckwoolery](#)

February 13, 2015, 11:09 PM

Dear Chuckwoolery, As for energy the word should be NUCLEAR. Then all we need to do is shore up the grid.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**Fowler**

February 13, 2015, 11:12 PM

Dear Chuckwooley, As for the energy problem, the answer is NUCLEAR. Then all we need to do is shore up the grid.

[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

**Adolphe FABER**

April 4, 2015, 6:26 AM

I share Mr Webbers opinion that energy production by wind-mills and solar cells to produce desalinated sea-water to irrigate crops in sun-bathed countries could work in economic terms. The unavoidable gaps in the availability of that kind of electricity production can be overcome by creating large water-basins on mountain tops, that can be filled in during peaks of electricity availability and emptied at night or during wind-still periods. Beside the quoted example of the American South-west, one could think of the Cape Verde Islands or even ultimately of the Sahara which was once green and fertile as shown on rock-drawings.


[Reply](#) | [Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

## Post a Comment

You are currently signed in as [jennogo](#). If this is incorrect, please [sign out](#).

Comments or accounts in violation of our [community guidelines](#) may be removed.

## TRY A RISK-FREE ISSUE



**YES!** Send me a free issue of Scientific American with no obligation to continue the subscription. If I like it, I will be billed for the one-year subscription.

[Subscribe Now](#)

© 2015 Scientific American, a Division of Nature America, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

[Advertise](#)

[Special Ad Sections](#)

[SA Custom Media and Partnerships](#)

[Science Jobs](#)

[Partner Network](#)

[International Editions](#)

[Travel](#)

[About Scientific American](#)

[Press Room](#)

[Site Map](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Use of Cookies](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Renew Your Print Subscription](#)

[Print Subscriber Customer Service](#)

[Buy Back Issues](#)

[FAQs](#)

[Contact Us](#)